

Appl. No. 09/693,019

APP 1204

Amdt. Dated June 27, 2005

Reply to Office action of March 21, 2005

Remarks/Arguments

Applicants do not agree with the Examiner that the amendments to the disclosure made in the last prior Amendment represent new matter. Applicant believes these amendments clarified applicants' description and were either implicitly or explicitly set forth in the original specification as filed. However, in deference to the Examiner's requirement and in view of the file wrapper of this application clearly indicating the improvements applicants sought to introduce into their specification description, applicants have deleted the objected to material.

Applicants have also deleted, as required by the Examiner, the embedded hyperlink which had been included to provide the reader of the issued patent an indication of where to find the document identified by that hyperlink.

Applicants note with appreciation that the Examiner continues to hold claim 3 to be allowable, subject to clarification of the language therein. Accordingly, and consistent with the deletion of language included in the specification by the last prior Amendment, applicants have amended claim 3 to clarify that the claim is directed to the structure of the SIP-EYE Agent of their invention.

Allowance of claim 3, as amended, is therefore respectfully requested.

Applicants' invention is directed to the problem of terminal mobility with SIP and specifically applicants' invention allows the mobile stations themselves to use SIP to support terminal mobility and hand-off without any additional forwarding element in the network, unlike the mobile IP arrangement of Borella et al patent 6,266,405 or the GSM arrangement of Sayers et al patent 6,539,237. In other words, these references do not disclose or suggest, together with the other art relied upon by the Examiner, applicants' specific SIP-EYE Agent. In view of the allowability of claim 3, directed to the SIP-EYE Agent, and to expedite the prosecution of this application, applicants have canceled all of the rejected claims.

Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that this application is now in condition to be passed to issue, and such action is requested.

Appl. No. 09/693,019

APP 1204

Amdt. Dated June 27, 2005

Reply to Office action of March 21, 2005

A petition for a one month extension of time is included herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

S. Baba et al

By: 

James W. Falk

Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 16,154

(732) 699-4465